arXiv:1209.5162v1 [math.CV] 24 Sep 2012

COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES, LANDAU'S THEOREM AND LIPSCHITZ-TYPE SPACES ON PLANAR HARMONIC MAPPINGS

SH. CHEN, S. PONNUSAMY *, AND A. RASILA

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate the properties of locally univalent and multivalent planar harmonic mappings. First, we discuss the coefficient estimates and Landau's Theorem for some classes of locally univalent harmonic mappings, and then we study some Lipschitz-type spaces for locally univalent and multivalent harmonic mappings.

1. Introduction

Let D be a simply connected subdomain of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. A complex-valued function f defined in D is called a *harmonic mapping* in D if and only if both the real and the imaginary parts of f are real harmonic in D. It is known that every harmonic mapping f defined in D admits a decomposition $f = h + \overline{g}$, where h and g are analytic in D. Since the Jacobian J_f of f is given by

$$J_f = |f_z|^2 - |f_{\overline{z}}|^2 := |h'|^2 - |g'|^2,$$

f is locally univalent and sense-preserving in D if and only if $|g'(z)| < |h'(z)|$ in D; or equivalently if $h'(z) \neq 0$ and the dilatation $w = g'/h'$ has the property that $|w(z)| < 1$ in D (see [21]). We refer to [12, 15] for the theory of planar harmonic mappings.

For $a \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\mathbb{D}(a, r) = \{z : |z - a| < r\}$. In particular, we use $\mathbb{D}_r$ to denote the disk $\mathbb{D}(0, r)$ and $\mathbb{D}$ the unit disk $\mathbb{D}_1$. For harmonic mappings f defined in $\mathbb{D}$, we use the following standard notations:

$$\Lambda_f(z) = \max_{0\leq\theta\leq 2\pi} |f_z(z) + e^{-2i\theta} f_{\overline{z}}(z)| = |f_z(z)| + |f_{\overline{z}}(z)|$$

and

$$\lambda_f(z) = \min_{0\leq\theta\leq 2\pi} |f_z(z) + e^{-2i\theta} f_{\overline{z}}(z)| = \big| |f_z(z)| - |f_{\overline{z}}(z)| \big|.$$

Thus, for a sense-preserving harmonic mapping f, one has $J_f(z) = \Lambda_f(z)\lambda_f(z)$.

File: Lip-type-har-CSR.tex, printed: 25-9-2012, 0.28

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 30H05, 30H10, 30H30; Secondary: 30C20, 30C45, 30C62, 31C05.

Key words and phrases. Harmonic mapping, Lipschitz space, harmonic Bloch space, Green's theorem.

* Corresponding author.

2. MAIN RESULTS

For a harmonic mapping f in $\mathbb{D}$ and $r \in [0,1)$, the *harmonic area function* $S_f(r)$ of f, counting multiplicity, is defined by

$$S_f(r) = \int_{\mathbb{D}_r} J_f(z)\, dA(z),$$

where dA denotes the normalized area measure on $\mathbb{D}$. In [19], the authors discussed some properties of harmonic area functions and proved that a harmonic self-homeomorphism of a disk does not increase the area of any concentric disk. In this paper, we discuss the coefficients estimates and the Landau Theorem for sense-preserving harmonic mappings with the finite area. Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the set of all sense-preserving harmonic mappings f in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying the normalization $f(0) = f_{\overline{z}}(0) = 0$. We denote $\mathcal{H}(C)$ the class of all mappings $f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{H}$ with the finiteness condition

$$C := \sup_{0<r<1} S_f(r) < \infty,$$

where h and g are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_\alpha(C)$ denote all mappings $f \in \mathcal{H}(C)$ with $f_z(0) = \alpha$ for some $\alpha \geq 0$.

In order to state our main results, we first consider the class $\mathcal{H}(C)$. Throughout the discussion, we assume that h and g has the form

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \ z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{2.1}$$

whenever $f = h + \overline{g}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}(C)$. Our results are as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{H}(C)$, $r_0 = (\sqrt{5}-1)/2 \approx 0.618$, and*

$$Q(r_0) = \left\{ \frac{(1+r_0)}{r_0^2(1-r_0)} C \right\}^{1/2} \approx 3.330\sqrt{C}.$$

Then $|a_1| \leq Q(r_0)$ and for $n \geq 2$,

$$|a_n| + |b_n| \leq \frac{4Q(r_0)}{\pi r_0^{n-1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} < \frac{4Q(r_0)\mathrm{e}}{\pi r_0^{n-1}}.$$

Let f be a sense-preserving harmonic mapping from $\mathbb{D}$ into $\mathbb{C}$. We say that f is a *K-quasiregular harmonic mapping* if and only if

$$\frac{\Lambda_f(z)}{\lambda_f(z)} \leq K, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \frac{|f_{\overline{z}}(z)|}{|f_z(z)|} \leq \frac{K-1}{K+1}, \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $K \geq 1$. Moreover, if f is an univalent and K-quasiregular harmonic mapping, then f is called a K-quasiconformal harmonic mapping.

A harmonic mapping f is called a *harmonic Bloch mapping* if and only if

$$\sup_{z,w\in\mathbb{D},\ z\neq w} \frac{|f(z)-f(w)|}{\rho(z,w)} < \infty,$$

where

$$\rho(z,w)=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{1+\left|\frac{z-w}{1-\overline{z}w}\right|}{1-\left|\frac{z-w}{1-\overline{z}w}\right|}\right)=\operatorname{arctanh}\left|\frac{z-w}{1-\overline{z}w}\right|$$

denotes the hyperbolic distance between z and w in $\mathbb{D}$.

In [13], Colonna proved that

$$\sup_{z,w\in\mathbb{D},\ z\neq w}\frac{|f(z)-f(w)|}{\rho(z,w)}=\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}\{(1-|z|^2)\Lambda_f(z)\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover, the set of all harmonic Bloch mappings, denoted by the symbol $\mathcal{HB}$, forms a complex Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ given by

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{HB}}=|f(0)|+\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}\{(1-|z|^2)\Lambda_f(z)\}.$$

For K-quasiregular harmonic mappings, we have

Theorem 2. *Let $f=h+\overline{g}$ be a K-quasiregular harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying $C=\sup_{0<r<1}S_f(r)<\infty$, where $h(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_nz^n$ and $g(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}b_nz^n$. Then $f\in\mathcal{HB}$ and*

$$|a_n|+|b_n|\leq\begin{cases}\sqrt{CK} & \text{if } n=1,\\ \dfrac{4\sqrt{CK}}{\pi}\left(1+\dfrac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} & \text{if } n\geq 2.\end{cases}$$

The following result is obtained as an application of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. *Let $f\in\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(C)$ with $0<\alpha<Q(r_0)$, where r_0 and $Q(r_0)$ are the same as in Theorem 1. Then for $n\geq 2$,*

$$|a_n|+|b_n|\leq\frac{1}{nr_0^{n-1}Q(r_0)}\inf_{0<t<1}\left\{\frac{Q(r_0)^2-\alpha^2(1-t)^2}{t^{n-1}(1-t)}\right\}<\frac{Q(r_0)}{r_0^{n-1}}\left(1+\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}.$$

The classical theorem of Landau asserts the existence of an universal constant ρ such that every analytic function $f:\mathbb{D}\to\mathbb{D}$ with $f(0)=f'(0)-1=0$ is univalent in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{\rho}$ and in addition, the range $f(\mathbb{D}_{\rho})$ contains a disk of radius ρ^2. Recently, many authors considered Landau's theorem for planar harmonic mappings (see for example, [2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 14, 18, 22, 23, 32]). Applying Theorem 3, we obtain the following result. Since a bounded harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ has a finite area, we see that the following result is a generalization of [2, Theorem 3].

Theorem 4. *Let $f\in\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(C)$ with $0<\alpha<Q(r_0)$, where r_0 and $Q(r_0)$ are the same as in Theorem 1. Define*

$$\rho=1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{e}Q(r_0)}}}\quad\text{and}\quad R_0=r_0\rho\left(\alpha-\frac{\mathrm{e}Q(r_0)\rho}{1-\rho}\right).$$

Then f is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{\rho}$. Moreover, $f(r_0\mathbb{D}_{\rho})$ contains a univalent disk $\mathbb{D}_{R_0}$.

A continuous increasing function $\omega : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ with $\omega(0) = 0$ is called a *majorant* if $\omega(t)/t$ is non-increasing for $t > 0$ (see [16]). Given a subset Ω of $\mathbb{C}$, a function $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to belong to the *Lipschitz space* $L_\omega(\Omega)$ if there is a positive constant M such that

$$|f(z) - f(w)| \leq M\omega(|z-w|) \ \text{ for all } z,\ w \in \Omega. \tag{2.3}$$

For $\delta_0 > 0$ and $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, we consider the following conditions on a majorant ω:

$$\int_0^\delta \frac{\omega(t)}{t}\, dt \leq M\omega(\delta) \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\delta \int_\delta^{+\infty} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^2}\, dt \leq M\omega(\delta), \tag{2.5}$$

where M denotes a positive constant.

A majorant ω is said to be *regular* if it satisfies the conditions (2.4) and (2.5) (see [16]).

Dyakonov [16] characterized the holomorphic functions of class L_ω in terms of their modulus. Later in [27, Theorems A and B], Pavlović came up with a relatively simple proof of the results of Dyakonov. Recently, many authors considered this topic and generalized Dyakonov's results to holomorphic functions and harmonic functions of one variable and several variables (see [1, 7, 16, 17, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29, 30]). In this paper, we first extend [27, Theorems A and B] to planar harmonic mappings as follows.

Theorem 5. *Let ω be a majorant satisfying (2.4) and $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Then for all $r \in (0,1)$,*

$$f \in L_\omega(\mathbb{D}_r) \Longleftrightarrow |f| \in L_\omega(\mathbb{D}_r) \Longleftrightarrow |f| \in L_\omega(\mathbb{D}_r, \partial\mathbb{D}_r),$$

where $L_\omega(\mathbb{D}_r, \partial\mathbb{D}_r)$ denotes the class of continuous functions F on $\mathbb{D}_r \cup \partial\mathbb{D}_r$ which satisfy the condition (2.3) with some positive constant M, whenever $z \in \mathbb{D}_r$ and $w \in \partial\mathbb{D}_r$.

In [20], Korenblum proved the following result.

Theorem A. *Let u be a real harmonic function in $\mathbb{D}$ and $f_r(\theta) = u(re^{i\theta})$. Then*

$$\|f_r\|_{BMO} \leq \sqrt{1/2}\|u\|_B \sqrt{|\log(1-r^2)|} \qquad (0 < r < 1),$$

where $\|u\|_B = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}\{|\nabla u(z)|(1-|z|^2)\}$.

Let BMO_h be the complex Banach space of complex-valued and $2\pi-$periodic functions $\psi \in L^2(0,2\pi)$ modulo constants with norm

$$\|\psi\|_{BMO_h} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\psi(e^{i\theta}) - f_\psi(z)|^2 P(e^{i\theta}, z)\, d\theta \right\}^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Here $P(e^{i\theta}, z)$ is the Poisson Kernel given by

$$P(e^{i\theta}, z) = \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial n_\zeta} \log\left|\frac{\zeta - z}{1-\overline{z}\zeta}\right|, \tag{2.6}$$

where n_ζ is the outward normal to $\partial\mathbb{D}$ at $\zeta = e^{i\theta}$, and

$$f_\psi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} P(e^{i\theta}, z)\psi(\theta)\, d\theta.$$

We now extend Theorem A to the following form.

Theorem 6. *Let ω be a majorant and f be a harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$. If $\Lambda_f(z) \leq M\omega\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|}\right)$ in $\mathbb{D}$ and $\psi_r(\theta) = f(re^{i\theta})$, then*

$$\|\psi_r\|_{BMO} \leq 2\sqrt{\omega(1)}Mr\sqrt{\int_0^1 \omega\Big(\frac{1}{1-rt}\Big)\, dt},$$

where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $r \in (0, 1)$.

Remark 2.1. Let $f = u + iv$ be a complex-valued continuously differentiable function defined on $\mathbb{D}$. Then for $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$\Lambda_f(z) \leq |\nabla u(x, y)| + |\nabla v(x, y)|, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\nabla u = (u_x, u_y)$ and $\nabla v = (v_x, v_y)$ (see [9, Lemma 2]). Therefore, the condition $\Lambda_f(z) \leq M\omega\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|}\right)$ in Theorem 6 is better than the condition

$$|\nabla u(x, y)| + |\nabla v(x, y)|) \leq M\omega\Big(\frac{1}{1 - |z|}\Big).$$

By taking $\omega(t) = t$ in Theorem 6, we get the following result which is also a generalization of [20, Theorem 1].

Corollary 2.1. *Let f be a harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$. If $\Lambda_f(z) \leq \frac{M}{1-|z|}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ and $\psi_r(\theta) = f(re^{i\theta})$, then*

$$\|\psi_r\|_{BMO_h} \leq 2\sqrt{r}M\sqrt{|\log(1 - r)|},$$

where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $r \in (0, 1)$.

For K-quasiregular harmonic mapping with finite area, we have

Corollary 2.2. *Let f be a K-quasiregular harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying $C = \sup_{0<r<1} S_f(r) < \infty$. If $\psi_r(\theta) = f(re^{i\theta})$, then*

$$\|\psi_r\|_{BMO_h} \leq 2\sqrt{r}\sqrt{KC}\sqrt{|\log(1 - r)|},$$

where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $r \in (0, 1)$.

A sense-preserving and univalent harmonic mapping f in $\mathbb{D}$ will be called a *fully convex harmonic mapping* if it maps every circle $|z| = r < 1$ onto a convex curve (see [10, p. 138]). Clunie and Sheil-Small proved the following result.

Theorem B. [12, Corollary 5.8] *Let $f = h+\overline{g}$ be an univalent and sense-preserving harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$, where h and g are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. If $f(\mathbb{D})$ is a convex domain, then for all $z_1,\ z_2 \in \mathbb{D}$ with $z_1 \neq z_2$,*

$$|g(z_1) - g(z_2)| < |h(z_1) - h(z_2)|.$$

In [11], Chuaqui and Hernández discussed the relationship between the images of the linear connectivity of $\mathbb{D}$ under the harmonic mappings $f = h + \overline{g}$ and under their corresponding analytic counterparts h, where h and g are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. The following result is an analogous result of Theorem B.

Theorem 7. *Let $f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{H}$ be a fully convex harmonic mapping, where h and g are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. Then for all $r \in (0, 1)$ and $z_1,\ z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_r$,*

$$\frac{|f(z_2) - f(z_1)|}{1+r} \leq |h(z_2) - h(z_1)| \leq \frac{|f(z_2) - f(z_1)|}{1-r}. \tag{2.8}$$

The following result, which is an improvement Theorem B in the case of fully convex functions, easily follows from Theorem 7.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{H}$ be a fully convex harmonic mapping, where h and g are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. Then h is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$.*

We still have the following theorem due Clunie and Sheil-Small [12, Theorem 5.17] which helps to construct univalent close-to-convex harmonic functions.

Theorem C. *Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be a sense-preserving harmonic mapping in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$, and suppose that $h + \epsilon g$ is convex for some $|\epsilon| \leq 1$. Then f is a univalent harmonic mapping from $\mathbb{D}$ onto a close-to-convex domain.*

In particular, Theorem C shows that a sense-preserving harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ is necessarily close-to-convex in $\mathbb{D}$ whenever the analytic part of it is convex. In contrast to this, under mild restriction on f, namely $f_{\overline{z}}(0) = 0$, Corollary 2.3 shows that the analytic part h of a sense-preserving fully convex harmonic mapping $f = h + \overline{g}$ is necessarily univalent in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. On the other hand, another result of Clunie and Sheil-Small (see for example, [12, Theorem 5.7 and Corollary 5.14]) shows that conclusion of Corollary 2.3 and hence of Theorem 7 could be improved.

3. COEFFICIENTS ESTIMATES AND THE LANDAU-BLOCH THEOREM FOR LOCALLY UNIVALENT HARMONIC MAPPINGS

Lemma D. ([4, Lemma 1] or [6, Theorem 1.1]) *Let f be a harmonic mapping of $\mathbb{D}$ into $\mathbb{C}$ such that $|f(z)| \leq M$ and $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{b}_n \overline{z}^n$. Then $|a_0| \leq M$ and for all $n \geq 1$*

$$|a_n| + |b_n| \leq \frac{4M}{\pi}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}(C)$. For $z \in \mathbb{D}$, consider $w(z) = \overline{f_{\overline{z}}(z)}/f_z(z)$. Then $w(0) = 0$ and by Schwarz's lemma one has $|w(z)| < r$ for $|z| < r$, where $r \in (0, 1)$. This implies

$$\frac{\Lambda_f(z)}{\lambda_f(z)} = \frac{1 + |w(z)|}{1 - |w(z)|} \leq \frac{1+r}{1-r} = K(r).$$

Since $J_f(z)=\Lambda_f(z)\lambda_f(z)$ for the sense-preserving mapping f, we easily have

$$S_f(r)=\int_{\mathbb{D}_r}\Lambda_f(z)\lambda_f(z)\,dA(z)\geq\frac{1}{K(r)}\int_{\mathbb{D}_r}\Lambda_f^2(z)\,dA(z),$$

which implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}_r}\Lambda_f^2(z)\,dA(z)\leq CK(r).$$

For $\theta\in[0,2\pi)$ and $z\in\mathbb{D}_r$, let $P_\theta(z)=\big(f_z(z)+e^{i\theta}\overline{f_{\overline{z}}(z)}\big)^2$. Because $|P_\theta(z)|$ is subharmonic for $z\in\mathbb{D}_r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|P_\theta(z)| &\leq \frac{\int_0^{r-|z|}\int_0^{2\pi}|P_\theta(z+\rho e^{i\beta})|\rho\,d\beta\,d\rho}{\pi(r-|z|)^2}\\ &\leq \frac{1}{(r-|z|)^2}\int_{\mathbb{D}_{r-|z|}}(|f_z(z)|+|f_{\overline{z}}(z)|)^2\,dA(z)\\ &\leq \frac{CK(r)}{(r-|z|)^2}\end{aligned}$$

and the arbitrariness of $\theta\in[0,2\pi)$ gives the inequality

$$\Lambda_f^2(z)\leq\frac{CK(r)}{(r-|z|)^2}. \tag{3.1}$$

For $\zeta\in\mathbb{D}$, let $F(\zeta)=r^{-1}f(r\zeta)$. Then $F(0)=0$ and by (3.1) we see that

$$\Lambda_F(\zeta)=\Lambda_f(z)\leq\frac{\sqrt{CK(r)}}{r}\frac{1}{1-|\zeta|}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $z=r\zeta$. By (3.2), we obtain that

$$\Lambda_F(\zeta)\leq\min_{0<r<1}\left\{\frac{C(1+r)}{r^2(1-r)}\right\}^{1/2}\frac{1}{1-|\zeta|}=\frac{Q(r_0)}{1-|\zeta|},$$

where

$$Q(r_0)=\left[\frac{C(1+r_0)}{r_0^2(1-r_0)}\right]^{1/2}=\sqrt{(11+5\sqrt{5})C/2}\approx 3.330\sqrt{C}$$

and $r_0=(\sqrt{5}-1)/2\approx 0.618$. Again, for $w\in\mathbb{D}$ and a fixed $t\in(0,1)$, let $G(w)=t^{-1}F(tw)$. Then $G(0)=0$ and

$$\Lambda_G(w)=\Lambda_F(\zeta)\leq\frac{Q(r_0)}{1-|\zeta|}=\frac{Q(r_0)}{1-t|w|}\leq\frac{Q(r_0)}{1-t}=M(t)$$

and G has the form

$$G(w)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}A_nw^n+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\overline{B}_n\overline{w}^n,$$

where $A_n=a_nr_0^{n-1}t^{n-1}$, $B_n=b_nr_0^{n-1}t^{n-1}$ and $\zeta=wt$. For $\theta\in[0,2\pi)$, we consider the function T defined by

$$T(w)=G_w(w)+e^{i\theta}G_{\overline{w}}(w).$$

Then $T(w)$ can be written in power series as

$$T(w)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n(A_nw^{n-1}+e^{i\theta}\overline{B}_n\overline{w}^{n-1})$$

so that $|T(w)|<M(t)$ for $w\in\mathbb{D}$. It is not difficult to know that

$$|T(0)|=|A_1+e^{i\theta}\overline{B}_1|<M(t),$$

which gives

$$|a_1+e^{i\theta}\overline{b}_1|=|a_1|\leq\inf_{0<t<1}M(t)=Q(r_0).$$

By Lemma D, for $n\geq 2$, we have

$$n(|A_n+e^{i\theta}\overline{B}_n|)\leq\frac{4M(t)}{\pi},$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}|a_n|+|b_n| &\leq \frac{4Q(r_0)}{\pi n r_0^{n-1}}\inf_{0<t<1}\left\{\frac{1}{t^{n-1}(1-t)}\right\}\\ &= \frac{4Q(r_0)}{\pi r_0^{n-1}}\left(1+\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}\\ &< \frac{4Q(r_0)\mathrm{e}}{\pi r_0^{n-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the theorem is complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. By hypotheses, $f=h+\overline{g}$ is a K-quasiregular harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$. Therefore, as in the proof of Theorem 1, we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}}\Lambda_f^2(z)\,dA(z)\leq CK$$

and

$$\Lambda_f^2(z)\leq\frac{CK}{(1-|z|)^2}\quad\text{for } z\in\mathbb{D},$$

so that

$$\Lambda_f(z)\leq\frac{\sqrt{CK}}{1-|z|}\quad\text{for } z\in\mathbb{D}. \tag{3.3}$$

Thus, $f\in\mathcal{HB}$.

On the other hand, for $\zeta\in\mathbb{D}$, let $F(\zeta)=r^{-1}f(r\zeta)$. For $w\in\mathbb{D}$ and $\theta\in[0,2\pi)$, let

$$T(\zeta)=F_{\zeta}(\zeta)+e^{i\theta}F_{\overline{\zeta}}(\zeta).$$

Then

$$T(\zeta)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n(a_n\zeta^{n-1}+e^{i\theta}\overline{b}_n\overline{\zeta}^{n-1})r^{n-1}\quad\text{for }\zeta\in\mathbb{D},$$

and $|T(\zeta)|<\frac{\sqrt{CK}}{1-r}$ for $\zeta\in\mathbb{D}$. We see that

$$|T(0)|=|a_1+e^{i\theta}\overline{b}_1|<\frac{\sqrt{CK}}{1-r},$$

which implies that

$$|a_1|+|b_1|\leq \sqrt{CK}\inf_{0<r<1}\left\{\frac{1}{1-r}\right\}=\sqrt{CK}.$$

By Lemma D, for $n\geq 2$, we easily have

$$n(|a_n|+|b_n|)\leq \frac{4\sqrt{CK}}{\pi}\inf_{0<r<1}\left\{\frac{1}{r^{n-1}(1-r)}\right\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Then by (3.4), we get

$$|a_n|+|b_n|\leq \begin{cases}\sqrt{CK} & \text{if } n=1,\\ \dfrac{4\sqrt{CK}}{\pi}\left(1+\dfrac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} & \text{if } n\geq 2.\end{cases}$$

The proof of the theorem is complete. □

The following result is well-known (see for example [26])

Lemma E. *Let ψ be an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $\psi(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}c_nz^n$. If $|\psi(z)|\leq 1$, then for each $n\geq 1$, $|c_0|^2+|c_n|\leq 1$.*

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $f=h+\overline{g}\in\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(C)$ with $0<\alpha<Q(r_0)$, where r_0 and $Q(r_0)$ are the same as in Theorem 1.

Following the proof of Theorem 1, for $w\in\mathbb{D}$ and $\theta\in[0,2\pi)$, we let

$$H(w)=\frac{G_w(w)+e^{i\theta}\overline{G_{\overline{w}}(w)}}{M(t)},$$

where G and $M(t)$ are the same as in the proof of Theorem 1. Then

$$H(w)=\frac{1}{M(t)}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n(A_n+e^{i\theta}B_n)w^{n-1}$$

and $|H(w)|<1$ for $w\in\mathbb{D}$, where $A_n=a_nr_0^{n-1}t^{n-1}$ and $B_n=b_nr_0^{n-1}t^{n-1}$. By Lemma E, we have

$$\frac{n|A_n+e^{i\theta}B_n|}{M(t)}\leq 1-\frac{\lambda_G^2(0)}{M^2(t)}=1-\frac{\alpha^2}{M^2(t)}.$$

Since $|A_n|+|B_n|=(|a_n|+|b_n|)r_0^{n-1}t^{n-1}$, the arbitrariness of $\theta\in[0,2\pi)$ gives

$$(|a_n|+|b_n|)r_0^{n-1}t^{n-1}\leq\frac{1}{n}\left(M(t)-\frac{\alpha^2}{M(t)}\right)=\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{Q^2(r_0)-\alpha^2(1-t)^2}{(1-t)Q(r_0)}\right)$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n|+|b_n| &\leq \frac{1}{nr_0^{n-1}t^{n-1}}\left(\frac{Q^2(r_0)-\alpha^2(1-t)^2}{(1-t)Q(r_0)}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{nr_0^{n-1}Q(r_0)}\inf_{0<t<1}\left\{\frac{Q^2(r_0)-\alpha^2(1-t)^2}{t^{n-1}(1-t)}\right\} \\ &< \frac{Q(r_0)}{nr_0^{n-1}}\inf_{0<t<1}\left\{\frac{1}{t^{n-1}(1-t)}\right\} = \frac{Q(r_0)}{r_0^{n-1}}\left(1+\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} \\ &< \frac{Q(r_0)\mathrm{e}}{r_0^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the theorem is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 4. As in Theorem 3, let $f=h+\overline{g}$, where g and h are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and have the form (2.1). For $\zeta\in\mathbb{D}$, let $F(\zeta)=f(r_0\zeta)/r_0$, where r_0 is the same as in Theorem 1. From the proof of Theorem 3, for $n\geq 2$, we have

$$|a_n|+|b_n| < \frac{Q(r_0)\mathrm{e}}{r_0^{n-1}}. \tag{3.5}$$

To prove the univalence of F, we choose two distinct points ζ_1, $\zeta_2\in\mathbb{D}_\rho$, where

$$\rho = 1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{e}Q(r_0)}}}. \tag{3.6}$$

Then (3.5) and (3.6) yield that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(\zeta_2)-F(\zeta_1)| &= \left|\int_{[\zeta_1,\zeta_2]} F_\zeta(\zeta)\,d\zeta+F_{\overline{\zeta}}(\zeta)\,d\overline{\zeta}\right| \\ &\geq \left|\int_{[\zeta_1,\zeta_2]} F_\zeta(0)\,d\zeta+F_{\overline{\zeta}}(0)\,d\overline{\zeta}\right| \\ &\quad -\left|\int_{[\zeta_1,\zeta_2]} (F_\zeta(\zeta)-F_\zeta(0))\,d\zeta+(F_{\overline{\zeta}}(\zeta)-F_{\overline{\zeta}}(0))\,d\overline{\zeta}\right| \\ &> |\zeta_1-\zeta_2|\big[\lambda_F(0)-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(|a_n|+|b_n|)nr_0^{n-1}\rho^{n-1}\big] \\ &\geq |\zeta_1-\zeta_2|\left[\alpha-Q(r_0)\left(1+\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}\cdot\frac{\rho(2-\rho)}{(1-\rho)^2}\right] \\ &> |\zeta_1-\zeta_2|\left[\alpha-\mathrm{e}Q(r_0)\cdot\frac{\rho(2-\rho)}{(1-\rho)^2}\right]=0, \quad \text{by (3.6).} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $F(\zeta_2)\neq F(\zeta_1)$. The univalence of F follows from the arbitrariness of ζ_1 and ζ_2. This implies that f is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{r_0\rho}$.

Now, for any $\zeta' = \rho e^{i\theta} \in \partial\mathbb{D}_\rho$, we easily obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}|F(\zeta')| &\geq \alpha\rho - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(|a_n| + |b_n|)r_0^{n-1}\rho^n \\ &\geq \alpha\rho - \mathrm{e}Q(r_0)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\rho^n \\ &= \rho\left(\alpha - \frac{\mathrm{e}Q(r_0)\rho}{1-\rho}\right) = \frac{R_0}{r_0}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f(\mathbb{D}_{r_0\rho})$ contains a univalent disk of radius R_0. The proof of the theorem is complete. □

4. LIPSCHITZ-TYPE SPACES OF HARMONIC MAPPINGS

Lemma F. ([8, Lemma 1]) *Let f be a K-quasiregular harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ with $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$. Then for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$,*

$$\Lambda_f(z) \leq K\frac{1-|f(z)|^2}{1-|z|^2}. \tag{4.1}$$

Moreover, inequality of (4.1) *is sharp when $K = 1$.*

Proof of Theorem 5. The implications $f \in L_\omega(\mathbb{D}_r) \Rightarrow |f| \in L_\omega(\mathbb{D}_r) \Rightarrow |f| \in L_\omega(\mathbb{D}_r, \partial\mathbb{D}_r)$ are obvious. We only need to prove $|f| \in L_\omega(\mathbb{D}_r, \partial\mathbb{D}_r) \Rightarrow f \in L_\omega(\mathbb{D}_r)$. For $z \in \mathbb{D}$, let $w(z) = \overline{f_{\overline{z}}(z)}/f_z(z)$. Then $w(0) = 0$ and for any fixed $r \in (0,1)$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}_r$, $|w(z)| < r$. This gives

$$\frac{\Lambda_f(z)}{\lambda_f(z)} = \frac{1+|w(z)|}{1-|w(z)|} \leq \frac{1+r}{1-r} = K(r).$$

Now, for a fixed point $z \in \mathbb{D}_r$, we consider the function

$$F(\eta) = f(z + d(z)\eta)/M_z,\ \eta \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $d(z) := d(z, \partial\mathbb{D}_r)$ denotes the Euclidean distance from z to the boundary $\partial\mathbb{D}_r$ of $\mathbb{D}_r$ and $M_z := \sup\{|f(\zeta)| : |\zeta - z| < d(z)\}$. By an elementary calculation, we obtain that

$$\frac{\Lambda_F(\eta)}{\lambda_F(\eta)} = \frac{\Lambda_f(\xi)}{\lambda_f(\xi)} \leq K(r),$$

where $\xi = z + d(z)\eta$. Then F is a $K(r)$-quasiregular harmonic mapping of $\mathbb{D}$ into itself. By Lemma F, we see that

$$\Lambda_F(0) \leq K(r)(1 - |F(0)|^2)$$

which in turn gives

$$d(z)\Lambda_f(z) \leq 2K(r)(M_z - |f(z)|). \tag{4.2}$$

Without loss of generality, we let $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}_r$ with $|\zeta - z| = d(z)$, and let $w \in \mathbb{D}(z, d(z))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(w)| - |f(z)| &\leq \big||f(w)| - |f(\zeta)|\big| + \big||f(\zeta)| - |f(z)|\big| \\ &\leq M\omega(d(z)) + M\omega(2d(z)) \\ &\leq 3M\omega(d(z)) \end{aligned}$$

and thus,

$$\sup_{w\in\mathbb{D}(z,d(z))} (|f(w)| - |f(z)|) \leq 3M\omega(d(z)),$$

which implies that $M_z - |f(z)| \leq 3M\omega(d(z))$. This inequality together with (4.2) shows that

$$\Lambda_f(z) \leq 6MK(r) \cdot \frac{\omega(d(z))}{d(z)},\ \ z \in \mathbb{D}_r. \tag{4.3}$$

Finally, given any two points $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_r$, let $\gamma \subset \mathbb{D}_r$ be a curve joining z_1 and z_2 which is similar to the proof of [16, Lemma 6 (ii)]. Integrating (4.3) along γ, we obtain that

$$|f(z_1) - f(z_2)| \leq \int_\gamma (|f_z(z)| + |f_{\overline{z}}(z)|)\, ds(z) \leq 6MK(r) \int_\gamma \frac{\omega(d(z))}{d(z)}\, ds(z). \tag{4.4}$$

Therefore, (4.4) yields

$$|f(z_1) - f(z_2)| \leq M_1 \cdot \omega(|z_1 - z_2|),$$

where M_1 is a positive constant. The proof of this theorem is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 6. By (2.6) and Green's Theorem, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta}) - f(rz)|^2 P(e^{i\theta}, z)\, d\theta$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})-f(rz)|^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial n_\zeta}\log\left|\frac{\zeta-z}{1-\overline{z}\zeta}\right| d\theta \\
&= -\frac{2r^2}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{D}} \log\left|\frac{w-z}{1-\overline{z}w}\right| \left(|f_\xi(rw)|^2+|f_{\overline{\xi}}(rw)|^2\right) dA(w) \\
&\leq -\frac{2r^2}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{D}} \log\left|\frac{w-z}{1-\overline{z}w}\right| \Lambda_f^2(rw)\, dA(w) \\
&\leq -\frac{2r^2M^2}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{D}} \log\left|\frac{w-z}{1-\overline{z}w}\right| \omega^2\left(\frac{1}{1-r|w|}\right) dA(w) \\
&\leq \frac{2r^2M^2}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{D}} \log\frac{1}{|w|}\omega^2\left(\frac{1}{1-r|w|}\right) dA(w) \\
&= 4r^2M^2\int_0^1 \rho|\log\rho|\omega^2\left(\frac{1}{1-r\rho}\right) d\rho \\
&= 4r^2M^2\int_0^1 \left[|\log\rho|\frac{d}{d\rho}\int_0^\rho t\omega^2\Big(\frac{1}{1-rt}\Big)\,dt\right] d\rho \\
&= 4r^2M^2\left\{|\log\rho|\int_0^\rho t\omega^2\Big(\frac{1}{1-rt}\Big)\,dt\Big|_0^1+\int_0^1\frac{\int_0^\rho t\omega^2\Big(\frac{1}{1-rt}\Big)\,dt}{\rho}\,d\rho\right\} \\
&= 4r^2M^2\int_0^1\frac{\int_0^\rho t\omega^2\Big(\frac{1}{1-rt}\Big)\,dt}{\rho}\,d\rho \\
&\leq 4r^2M^2\int_0^1\int_0^\rho \omega^2\Big(\frac{1}{1-rt}\Big)\,dt\,d\rho \\
&= 4r^2M^2\int_0^1\left(\int_t^1 d\rho\right)\omega^2\Big(\frac{1}{1-rt}\Big)\,dt \\
&= 4r^2M^2\int_0^1 (1-t)\omega^2\Big(\frac{1}{1-rt}\Big)\,dt
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= 4r^2M^2\int_0^1 \frac{1-t}{1-rt}\left[(1-rt)\omega\Big(\frac{1}{1-rt}\Big)\right]\omega\Big(\frac{1}{1-rt}\Big)\,dt \\
&\leq 4r^2M^2\omega(1)\int_0^1 \omega\Big(\frac{1}{1-rt}\Big)\,dt,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\|\psi_r\|_{BMO_h} \leq 2\sqrt{\omega(1)}Mr\sqrt{\int_0^1 \omega\Big(\frac{1}{1-rt}\Big)\,dt},
$$

where $\xi = rw$. The proof of this theorem is complete. □

Proof of Corollary 2.2. Corollary 2.2 easily follows from (3.3) and Corollary 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 7. Differentiating both sides of equation $f^{-1}(f(z))=z$ yields the relations

$$(f^{-1})_{\zeta}h'+(f^{-1})_{\overline{\zeta}}g'=1 \ \text{ and } \ (f^{-1})_{\zeta}\overline{g'}+(f^{-1})_{\overline{\zeta}}\overline{h'}=0,$$

where $\zeta=f(z)$. This gives

$$(f^{-1})_{\zeta}=\frac{\overline{h'}}{J_f} \text{ and } (f^{-1})_{\overline{\zeta}}=-\frac{\overline{g'}}{J_f}. \tag{4.5}$$

Since $\Omega=f(\mathbb{D}_r)$ is convex, for any two distinct points $z_1,\ z_2\in\mathbb{D}_r$ and $t\in[0,1]$, $\varphi(t)=(f(z_2)-f(z_1))t+f(z_1)\in\Omega$. Let $\gamma=f^{-1}\circ\varphi$ and $f(z_2)-f(z_1)=|f(z_2)-f(z_1)|e^{i\theta_0}$. For $z\in\mathbb{D}$, let $w(z)=\overline{f_{\overline{z}}(z)}/f_z(z)$. Then $w(0)=0$ and for $z\in\mathbb{D}_r$, $|w(z)|<r$, where $r\in(0,1)$. This implies that f is $K(r)$-quasiconformal harmonic mapping in $\mathbb{D}_r$, where $K(r)=\frac{1+r}{1-r}$. By calculations and (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|h(z_2)-h(z_1)| &= \left|\int_{\gamma}h'(z)\,dz\right|\\
&= \left|\int_0^1 h'(\gamma(t))\,\frac{d}{dt}\gamma(t)\,dt\right|\\
&= \left|\int_0^1 h'(\gamma(t))\Big[\varphi'(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta}f^{-1}(\varphi(t))+\overline{\varphi'(t)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\zeta}}f^{-1}(\varphi(t))\Big]\,dt\right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= |f(z_2)-f(z_1)|\left|\int_0^1 h'(\gamma(t))\Big(\frac{\overline{h'(\gamma(t))}}{J_f(\gamma(t))}e^{i\theta_0}-\frac{\overline{g'(\gamma(t))}}{J_f(\gamma(t))}e^{-i\theta_0}\Big)\,dt\right|\\
&\leq |f(z_2)-f(z_1)|\int_0^1 |h'(\gamma(t))|\frac{(|h'(\gamma(t))|+|g'(\gamma(t))|)}{J_f(\gamma(t))}\,dt\\
&= |f(z_2)-f(z_1)|\int_0^1 \frac{1}{1-|w(\gamma(t))|}\,dt\\
&\leq \frac{|f(z_2)-f(z_1)|}{1-r}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the second inequality in (2.8) holds. Next we prove the first inequality in (2.8). Applying (4.5), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Re}[e^{-i\theta_0}(\overline{g(z_2)-g(z_1)})]\\
&\quad= \mathrm{Re}\left[e^{-i\theta_0}\Big(\overline{\int_{\gamma} g'(z)\,dz}\Big)\right]\\
&\quad= \mathrm{Re}\left[e^{-i\theta_0}\Big(\overline{\int_0^1 g'(\gamma(t))\,\frac{d}{dt}\gamma(t)\,dt}\Big)\right]\\
&\quad= \mathrm{Re}\left\{e^{-i\theta_0}\overline{\Big[\int_0^1 g'(\gamma(t))\Big(\varphi'(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta}f^{-1}(\varphi(t))+\overline{\varphi'(t)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\zeta}}f^{-1}(\varphi(t))\Big)\,dt\Big]}\right\}\\
&\quad= |f(z_2)-f(z_1)|\mathrm{Re}\left\{e^{-i\theta_0}\overline{\Big[\int_0^1 \frac{g'(\gamma(t))\overline{h'(\gamma(t))}e^{i\theta_0}-|g'(\gamma(t))|^2e^{-i\theta_0}}{J_f(\gamma(t))}\,dt\Big]}\right\}\\
&\quad\leq |f(z_2)-f(z_1)|\int_0^1 \frac{|h'(\gamma(t))\overline{g'(\gamma(t))}e^{-2i\theta_0}|-|g'(\gamma(t))|^2}{J_f(\gamma(t))}\,dt\\
&\quad\leq |f(z_2)-f(z_1)|\int_0^1 \frac{|w(\gamma(t))|}{1+|w(\gamma(t))|}\,dt\\
&\quad\leq \frac{r|f(z_2)-f(z_1)|}{1+r},
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives

$$
\mathrm{Re}\left\{\frac{\overline{g(z_2)-g(z_1)}}{f(z_2)-f(z_1)}\right\}\leq\frac{r}{1+r}. \tag{4.6}
$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$
\mathrm{Re}\left\{\frac{h(z_2)-h(z_1)}{f(z_2)-f(z_1)}\right\}=1-\mathrm{Re}\left\{\frac{\overline{g(z_2)-g(z_1)}}{f(z_2)-f(z_1)}\right\}. \tag{4.7}
$$

By (4.6) and (4.7), we get

$$
\frac{|h(z_2)-h(z_1)|}{|f(z_2)-f(z_1)|}\geq\mathrm{Re}\left\{\frac{h(z_2)-h(z_1)}{f(z_2)-f(z_1)}\right\}\geq\frac{1}{1+r}.
$$

The proof of this theorem is complete. □

References

1. M. Arsenović , V. Manojlović and M. Mateljević, Lipschitz-type spaces and Quasiregular harmonic mappings in the space, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.,* **35**(2010), 379–387.
2. H. Chen, P. M. Gauthier and W. Hengartner, Bloch constants for planar harmonic mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128**(2000), 3231–3240.
3. H. Chen and P. M. Gauthier, The Landau theorem and Bloch theorem for planar harmonic and pluriharmonic mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.,* **139**(2011), 583–595.
4. Sh. Chen, S. Ponnusamy and X. Wang, Bloch constant and Landau's theorems for planar *p-harmonic* mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.,* **373**(2011), 102–110
5. Sh. Chen, S. Ponnusamy and X. Wang, Landau's theorem and Marden constant for harmonic ν-Bloch mappings, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.,* **84**(2011), 19–32.
6. Sh. Chen, S. Ponnusamy and X. Wang, Coefficient estimates and Landau-Bloch's constant for planar harmonic mappings, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Sciences Soc.* **34**(2011), 255–265.

7. Sh. Chen, S. Ponnusamy and X. Wang, On planar harmonic Lipschitz and planar harmonic Hardy classes, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, **36**(2011), 567–576.
8. Sh. Chen, S. Ponnusamy and X. Wang, Equivalent moduli of continuity, Bloch's theorem for pluriharmonic mappings in $\mathbb{B}^n$, http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.0685.
9. Sh. Chen, S. Ponnusamy and X. Wang, Weighted Lipschitz continuity, Schwarz-Pick's Lemma and Landau-Bloch's theorem for hyperbolic harmonic functions in the unit ball, *Mathematical Modelling and Analysis*, To appear.
10. M. Chuaqui, P. Duren and B. Osgood, Curvature properties of planar harmonic mappings, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory,* **4**(2004), 127–142.
11. M. Chuaqui and R. Hernández, Univalent harmonic mappings and linearly connected domains, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.,* **332**(2007), 1189–1194.
12. J. G. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, Harmonic univalent functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.,* **9**(1984), 3–25.
13. F. Colonna, The Bloch constant of bounded harmonic mappings, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.,* **38**(1989), 829–840.
14. M. Dorff and M. Nowak, Landau's theorem for planar harmonic mappings, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **4**(2004), 151–158.
15. P. Duren, Harmonic Mappings in the Plane, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004.
16. K. M. Dyakonov, Equivalent norms on Lipschitz-type spaces of holomorphic functions, *Acta Math.,* **178**(1997), 143–167.
17. K. M. Dyakonov, Holomorphic functions and quasiconformal mappings with smooth moduli, *Adv. Math.* **187** (2004), 146–172.
18. A. Grigoryan, Landau and Bloch theorems for planar harmonic mappings, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **51**(2006), 81–87.
19. N. T. Koh and L. V. Kovalev, Area constraction for harmonic automorphisms of the disk, *Bull. London Math. Soc.,* **43**(2011), 91–96.
20. B. Korenblum, BMO estimates and radial growth of Bloch functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.,* **12**(1985), 99–102.
21. H. Lewy, On the non-vanishing of the Jacobian in certain one-to-one mappings, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.,* **42**(1936), 689–692.
22. M. Liu, Landau's theorem for planar harmonic mappings, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **57** (7) (2009), 1142–1146.
23. M. Liu, Estimates on Bloch constants for planar harmonic mappings, *Sci. China Ser. A-Math.* **52**(1) (2009), 87–93.
24. M. Mateljević, Quasiconformal and quasiregular harmonic analogues of Koebe's theorem and applications, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **32**(2007), 301–315.
25. M. Mateljević and M. Vuorinen, On harmonic quasiconformal quasi-isometries, *J. Inequal. Appl* Volume 2010, Article ID 178732, 19 pages doi:10.1155/2010/1787.
26. Z. Nehari, Conformal mapping, Reprinting of the 1952 edition. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1975. vii + 396 pp.
27. M. Pavlović, On Dyakonov's paper "Equivalent norms on Lipschitz-type spaces of holomorphic functions", *Acta Math.,* **183**(1999), 141–143.
28. M. Pavlović, Introduction to function spaces on the disk, Matematički institut SANU Beograd. Press, 2004.
29. M. Pavlović, Lipschitz conditions on the modulus of a harmonic function, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.,* **23**(2007), 831–1845.
30. M. Pavlović, A Schwarz lemma for the modulus of a vector-valued analytic function, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139**(2011), 969–973.
31. Ch. Pommerenke, Boundary behaviour of conformal maps, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
32. H. Xinzhong, Estimates on Bloch constants for planar harmonic mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **337**(2008), 880–887.

Sh. Chen, Department of Mathematics and Computational Science, Hengyang Normal University, Hengyang, Hunan 421008, People's Republic of China.
E-mail address: `shlchen1982@yahoo.com.cn`

S. Ponnusamy, Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai-600 036, India.
E-mail address: `samy@iitm.ac.in`

A. Rasila, Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis, Aalto University, P. O. Box 11100, FI-00076 Aalto, Finland.
E-mail address: `antti.rasila@iki.fi`